



**Vipac Engineers and Scientists Limited**

279 Normanby Rd, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

Private Bag 16, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

t. +61 3 9647 9700 | f. +61 3 9646 4370 | e. melbourne@vipac.com.au

w. www.vipac.com.au | A.B.N. 33 005 453 627 | A.C.N. 005 453 627

## **Vipac Engineers & Scientists**

PBD Architects and Project Manager Pty Ltd

**2 Wilson Street, 849-859 Pacific Highway,  
Chatswood**

### **Wind Impact Assessment**



30N-20-0207-TRP-6793937-1

21 October 2020



<b>Job Title:</b> 2 Wilson Street, 849-859 Pacific Highway, Chatswood			
<b>Report Title:</b> Wind Impact Assessment			
<b>Document Reference:</b> 30N-20-0207-TRP-6793937-1			
<b>PREPARED FOR:</b> PBD Architects and Project Manager Pty Ltd Level 2 52 Albion Street Australia <b>CONTACT:</b> Wieger Meijer <b>Tel:</b> +61 411 436 549 <b>Fax:</b>	<b>PREPARED BY:</b> Vipac Engineers and Scientists Limited 279 Normanby Rd, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia <b>Tel:</b> +61 3 9647 9700 <b>Fax:</b> +61 3 9646 4370		
<b>AUTHORED BY:</b>     Zhuyun Xu Senior Wind Consultant   Date: 21 October 2020			
<b>REVIEWED BY:</b>     Sophie Lamande Wind Group Leader   Date: 21 October 2020			
<b>REVISION HISTORY:</b>			
Rev. #	Comments / Details of change(s) made	Date	Revised by:
Rev. 00	Original issue	19/10/2020	Z. Xu
Rev. 01	Drawings updated	21/10/2020	Z. Xu
Rev. 02			

*NOTE: This report has been prepared solely for the benefit of the client to whom this report is addressed for use herein ("Client") unless otherwise agreed in writing by Vipac Engineers and Scientists Limited ACN 005 453 627 ("Vipac"). Neither the whole of this report or any part of it may be published, duplicated or circulated without the prior written approval of Vipac except as required by law. Vipac does not assume any responsibility or liability for any losses suffered as a result of the publication, duplication or circulation of this report and excludes all liability whatsoever to any third party who may use or rely on the whole, or any part of this report.*

*Vipac has prepared this report using all reasonable care, skill and due diligence within the time period, budget and resources allocated to Vipac as agreed with the Client. Vipac excludes all liability to the Client whatsoever, whether in whole or in part, for the Client's use or reliance on the report other than for the purposes set out in the report, or any matters outside the agreed scope of the work.*

*For the purposes of preparing this report, reliance has been placed upon the material, representations, information and instructions provided to Vipac unless otherwise stated in the report. Originals of documents provided have not been required and no audit or examination of the validity of the documentation, representations, information or instructions provided has been undertaken except to the extent otherwise stated in this report. Information and findings contained in this report are based on Vipac's interpretation of data collected.*

*This document contains commercial, conceptual, engineering and other information that is proprietary to Vipac. The inclusion of this information in the report does not grant the Client any license to use the information without Vipac's prior written permission.*

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

PBD Architects and Project Manager Pty Ltd commissioned Vipac Engineers and Scientists Ltd to prepare a statement of wind effects for the ground level areas adjacent to the proposed development at **2 Wilson St, 849-859 Pacific Highway, Chatswood**. This appraisal is based on Vipac's experience as a wind-engineering consultancy.

Drawings of the proposed development were provided by **PDB Architects** in Oct 2020. The findings of this study can be summarized as follows:

- With the proposed design, the adjacent footpaths would be expected to have wind levels within the walking comfort criterion.
- The wind conditions near the main entrance areas would be expected to be within the criterion for standing comfort.
- With the proposed design and **recommended wind control measures**, the wind conditions in the apartment balconies would be expected to be within the criterion for walking.

As a general statement, educating occupants about wind conditions at open terrace/balcony areas during high-wind events and fixing loose, lightweight furniture on the terrace are highly recommended.

The assessments provided in this report have been made based on experience of similar situations in Sydney and around the world. As with any opinion, it is possible that an assessment of wind effects based on experience and without experimental validation may not account for all complex flow scenarios in the vicinity. Vipac recommends a scaled wind tunnel study in the detail design stage to verify the predictions and determine the optimal wind controls, wherever necessary.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>ANALYSIS APPROACH .....</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1	Site Exposure .....	8
2.2	Regional Wind Climate .....	9
2.3	Building Geometry and Orientation .....	10
2.4	Flow Interactions with Adjacent Developments.....	11
2.5	Assessment Criteria .....	12
2.6	Use of Adjacent Pedestrian Occupied Areas & Recommended Comfort Criteria.....	14
2.6.1	Apartment Balcony and Rooftop areas Recommended Criterion Discussion.....	14
<b>3</b>	<b>PEDESTRIAN LEVEL WIND EFFECTS .....</b>	<b>18</b>
3.1	Discussion.....	18
3.2	Recommendations.....	19
<b>4</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS.....</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>APPENDIX A: ENVIRONMENTAL WIND EFFECTS.....</b>		<b>22</b>
<b>APPENDIX B: REFERENCES .....</b>		<b>23</b>
<b>APPENDIX C: DRAWING LIST.....</b>		<b>24</b>

## 1 INTRODUCTION

PBD Architects and Project Manager Pty Ltd commissioned Vipac Engineers and Scientists Ltd to prepare a statement of wind effects for the ground level areas adjacent to the proposed development at **2 Wilson Street, 849-859 Pacific Highway, Chatswood, NSW**. This appraisal is based on Vipac's experience as a wind-engineering consultancy.


The site of proposed development is bounded by Pacific Hwy to the west, Wilson St to the north, O' Brien St to the south and the railway tracks to the east (see Figure 1). The proposed development has a diamond plan with a building height of 86.3 m from the ground level (Figure 2). The surrounding developments within a 3km radius are low density suburban dwellings and forested parklands (Figure 3).

This report details the opinion of Vipac as an experienced wind engineering consultancy regarding the wind effects in ground level public areas and access-ways adjacent to the development as proposed. No wind tunnel testing has been carried out for this development at this stage. Vipac has carried out wind tunnel studies on a large number of developments of similar shape and having similar exposure to that of the proposed development. These serve as a valid reference for the prediction of wind effects for this development. Empirical data for typical buildings in boundary layer flows has also been used to estimate likely ground level wind conditions adjacent to the proposed development [2] & [3].

Drawings of the proposed development were provided by **PDB Architects** in **Oct 2020** as listed in Appendix C of this report.



Figure 1: Aerial view of the proposed development site at 2 Wilson Street, 849-859 Pacific Highway, Chatswood.



*Figure 2: Typical section of the proposed development*

## 2 ANALYSIS APPROACH

When considering whether a proposed development is likely to generate adverse wind conditions in adjacent ground level areas, Vipac considers five main points:

- The exposure of the proposed development to wind;
- The regional wind climate;
- The geometry and orientation of the proposed development;
- The interaction of flows with adjacent developments;
- The assessment criteria, determined by the intended use of the public areas affected by wind flows generated or augmented by the proposed development.

The pedestrian wind comfort at specific locations around a site may be assessed by predicting the worst annual 3-second wind gust expected at that location. The location may be deemed generally acceptable for its intended use if the annual 3-second gust is within the threshold values noted in Section 2.5. For cases where Vipac predicts that a location would not meet its appropriate comfort criterion we may recommend the use of wind control devices and/or local building geometry modifications to achieve the desired comfort rating. For complex flow scenarios or where predicted flow conditions are well in excess of the recommended criteria, Vipac recommends scale model wind tunnel testing to determine the type and scope of the wind control measures required to achieve acceptable wind conditions.



## 2.1 SITE EXPOSURE

The proposed development is predominantly surrounded within a 3 km radius by suburban housing and low density residential developments and forested parklands. Therefore, for the current study, the site of the proposed development is considered to be Terrain Category 3 for all wind directions [1] (see Figure 3).





Figure 3: Assumed terrain categories for wind speed estimation.



## 2.2 REGIONAL WIND CLIMATE

The mean and gust wind speeds have been recorded in the Sydney area for 30 years. These data have been analysed and the directional probability distribution of wind speeds have been determined. The directional distribution of hourly mean wind speed at the gradient height, with a probability of occurring once per year (i.e. 1 year return period) is shown in Figure 4. The wind data at this free stream height are common to all Sydney city sites and may be used as a reference to assess ground level wind conditions at the site. Figure 4 indicates that the stronger winds can be expected from the south to north-westerly directions, followed by south, then North Easterly directions.

**Yearly Mean Wind Speeds (m/s), at 500 m height, Cat 2, Sydney**



*Figure 4: Directional Distribution of Annual Return Period Maximum Mean Hourly Wind Velocities (m/s) at gradient height in Sydney.*

### 2.3 BUILDING GEOMETRY AND ORIENTATION

The ground level plan of the proposed development is shown in Figure 5. The site covers an approximate 77 m by 50 m diamond plan with the long side running N - S direction.




Figure 5: Ground level plan of the proposed development.

## 2.4 FLOW INTERACTIONS WITH ADJACENT DEVELOPMENTS

The buildings immediately adjacent to the proposed development site, with their approximate heights are shown in Figure 6.

The proposed development is surrounded by some high rise buildings in south sectors, few high rise buildings in the north and low rise buildings in other directions.

The prevailing winds are from the west and the south sectors. The ground level areas are sheltered by neighbouring buildings for southerly and some northerly winds; it is relatively exposed to the prevailing winds for west/northwest sector over the roof of the 1-2 storey buildings across the intersection of Pacific Hwy, Wilson St and O' Brien St.




Figure 6 : A 3D perspective view of the immediately adjacent buildings

## 2.5 ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

With some consensus of international opinion, pedestrian wind comfort is rated according to the suitability of certain activities at a site in relation to the expected annual peak 3-second gust velocity at that location for each wind direction. Each of the major areas around the site are characterized by the annual maximum gust wind speeds. Most patrons would consider a site generally unacceptable for its intended use if it were probable that during one annual wind event, a peak 3-second gust occurs which exceeds the established comfort threshold velocity (shown in Table 1). If that threshold is exceeded once per year then it is also likely that during moderate winds, noticeably unpleasant wind conditions would result, and the windiness of the location would be considered as unacceptable.

Table 1: Recommended Wind Comfort and Safety Gust Criteria

Annual Maximum Gust Speed	Result on Perceived Pedestrian Comfort
>23m/s	Unsafe (frail pedestrians knocked over)
<20m/s	Acceptable for <b>fast walking</b> (waterfront or particular walking areas)
<16m/s	Acceptable for <b>walking</b> (steady steps for most pedestrians)
<13m/s	Acceptable for <b>standing</b> (window shopping, vehicle drop off, queuing)
<11m/s	Acceptable for <b>sitting</b> (outdoor cafés, gardens, park benches)

In a similar manner, a set of hourly mean velocity criteria (see Table 2) with a 0.1% probability of occurrence are also applicable to ground level areas in and adjacent to the proposed development. An area should be within both the relevant mean and gust limits in order to satisfy the particular human comfort and safety criteria in question.

Table 2: Recommended Wind Comfort and Safety Mean Criteria

Mean Speed in 0.1% of Time	Result on Perceived Pedestrian Comfort
>15m/s	Unsafe (frail pedestrians knocked over)
<13m/s	Acceptable for <b>fast walking</b> (waterfront or particular walking areas)
<10m/s	Acceptable for <b>walking</b> (steady steps for most pedestrians)
<7m/s	Acceptable for <b>standing</b> (window shopping, vehicle drop off, queuing)
<5m/s	Acceptable for <b>sitting</b> (outdoor cafés, gardens, park benches)

The Beaufort Scale is an empirical measure that related the wind speed to observed conditions on the land and sea. Table 3 describes the categories of the Beaufort Scale. The comparison between these observed conditions and the comfort criteria described above can be found in Table 4.



Table 3: Beaufort Scale - empirical measure relating wind speed to observed conditions on land

Beaufort Number	Descriptive Term	Wind Speed at 1.75 m height (m/s)	Specification for Estimating Speed
0	Calm	0-0.1	
1	Light Air	0.1-1.0	No noticeable wind
2	Light Breeze	1.1-2.3	Wind felt on face
3	Gentle Breeze	2.4-3.8	Hair disturbed, clothing flaps, newspapers difficult to read
4	Moderate Breeze	3.9-5.5	Raises dust and loose paper; hair disarranged
5	Fresh Breeze	5.6-7.5	Force of wind felt on body, danger of stumbling when entering a windy zone
6	Strong Breeze	7.6-9.7	Umbrellas used with difficulty, hair blown straight, difficult to walk steadily, sideways wind force about equal to forwards wind force, wind noise on ears unpleasant
7	Near Gale	9.8-12.0	Inconvenience felt when walking
8	Gale	12.1-14.5	Generally impedes progress, great difficulty with balance in gusts
9	Strong Gale	14.6-17.1	People blown over

Table 4: Comparison between Mean comfort criteria and the observed conditions

Comfort Criteria	Beaufort Scale Equivalent
Safety	9 – Strong Gale
Walking	5 – Fresh Breeze
Standing	4-5 – Moderate to Fresh Breeze
Sitting	<4 – Moderate Breeze

## 2.6 USE OF ADJACENT PEDESTRIAN OCCUPIED AREAS & RECOMMENDED COMFORT CRITERIA

The following table lists the specific areas adjacent to the development and the corresponding recommended criteria. These are shown in Figure 7 to Figure 9.

*Table 5: Recommended application of criteria*

Area	Specific location	Recommended Criteria
Public Footpaths and Access ways	Around the proposed development on O' Brien St, Wilson St and Pacific Hwy and (Figure 7)	Walking
Building entrances	Entrances on Wilson St and O' Brien St (Figure 7)	Standing
Terrace/balconies	Balconies on Levels 2 -26 of the tower (Figure 8 and Figure 9)	Walking (refer the discussion below)

### 2.6.1 APARTMENT BALCONY AND ROOFTOP AREAS RECOMMENDED CRITERION DISCUSSION

Vipac recommends as a minimum that apartment balcony/rooftop terrace areas meet the criterion for walking since:

- these areas are not public spaces;
- the use of these areas is optional;
- many similar developments in Sydney and other Australian capital cities experience wind conditions on balconies and elevated deck areas in the vicinity of the criterion for walking.

However, it should be noted that meeting the walking criterion on elevated recreation areas will be no guarantee that occupants will find wind conditions in these areas acceptable at all times.




Figure 7: Ground floor plan/L1 of the proposed development with the recommended wind criteria overlaid.




Figure 8: Plans of Levels 2-21 of the proposed development with the recommended wind criteria overlaid.






Figure 9: Plans of Levels 22-26 of the proposed development with the recommended wind criteria overlaid.

### 3 PEDESTRIAN LEVEL WIND EFFECTS

#### 3.1 DISCUSSION

The proposed design incorporates some wind mitigating features, including the following:

- 4 m setback at podium level and 10 m set back at tower level from Pacific Hwy;
- Tower levels set back 6 m from Wilson St;
- Tower levels set back >10 m from O' Brien St;
- Rounded building corners;
- Some inset balconies on the tower facades;

#### Ground Floor

Wind conditions at the footpath areas along Pacific Hwy are relatively exposed to westerly winds; however, due to the setback design, it is not expected that wind levels in this area would exceed the criterion for walking. The footpath at other streetscapes would also be expected to have wind conditions within the recommended walking comfort criterion.

The main entrances of the building are well set back within the building envelope and would be not expected to experience wind levels in excess of the criterion for standing comfort.

#### Balconies General

Whilst wind conditions on the balconies of the proposed development will frequently be acceptable for outdoor recreation, during moderate to strong winds, conditions in these areas may exceed human comfort criteria. Balcony areas on similar developments in many major Australian capital cities typically experience similar elevated wind conditions. High exposure, corner acceleration flows and standing vortices would sometimes preclude these areas from use for outdoor recreation.

The north east corner balconies at level 22 to level 26 are relatively large and exposed to the high northeast winds and would be expected to experience elevated wind conditions. Vipac recommends incorporating wintergarden designs (ie. Incorporate sliding windows/louvers so that the balconies can be enclosed) for these balconies which would achieve improved wind environment for these areas. For the topmost level (Level 26), increasing the balustrade height to  $\geq 1.8$  m for the two sharp corner balconies is also recommended. These recommendations are shown in Figure 10.

### 3.2 RECOMMENDATIONS

After careful consideration of the areas at the base of the proposed development, Vipac predicts that the proposed development will present some changes to existing wind conditions in adjacent ground level areas. However, it would be not expected to have wind levels in exceeding of the recommended comfort criteria in the ground level.

However, Vipac predicts that the northeast corner balconies at Level 22 to 26 would be expected to have some elevated wind conditions. Incorporating wintergarden designs (ie. Incorporate sliding windows/louvers so that the balconies can be enclosed) for these balconies has been recommended. Also, increasing in balustrade height to  $\geq 1.8$  m at the southwest and northeast corner balconies at Level 26 is recommended (Figure 10).

Furthermore, as a general statement, common to all developments of this height, educating occupants about wind conditions at high-level terraces/balconies during high-wind events and tying down lightweight furniture are highly recommended.




Figure 10: Recommended wind control measures for the large balcony on levels 22-26



## 4 CONCLUSIONS

An assessment of the likely wind conditions at pedestrian level of the proposed development at **2 Wilson Street, 849-859 Pacific Highway, Chatswood, NSW** has been made.

Vipac has carefully considered the form and exposure of the proposed development, nominated criteria for various public areas according to their function and referred to past experience to produce our opinion of likely wind conditions. Based on this assessment, the following conclusions are drawn:

- With the proposed design, the adjacent footpaths would be expected to have wind levels within the walking comfort criterion.
- The wind conditions near the main entrance areas would be expected to be within the criterion for standing comfort.
- With the proposed design and recommended wind control measures, the wind conditions in the apartment balconies would be expected to be within the criterion for walking.

As a general statement, educating occupants about wind conditions at open terrace/balcony areas during high-wind events and fixing loose, lightweight furniture on the terrace are highly recommended.

The assessments provided in this report have been made based on experience of similar situations in Sydney and around the world. As with any opinion, it is possible that an assessment of wind effects based on experience and without experimental validation may not account for all complex flow scenarios in the vicinity. Vipac recommends a scaled wind tunnel study in the detail design stage to verify the predictions and determine the optimal wind controls, wherever necessary.

*This Report Has Been Prepared  
For  
PBD Architects and Project Manager Pty Ltd  
By  
VIPAC ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS LTD.*

## Appendix A: ENVIRONMENTAL WIND EFFECTS

### Atmospheric Boundary Layer

As wind flows over the earth it encounters various roughness elements and terrain such as water, forests, houses and buildings. To varying degrees, these elements reduce the mean wind speed at low elevations and increase air turbulence. The wind above these obstructions travels with unattenuated velocity, driven by atmospheric pressure gradients. The resultant increase in wind speed with height above ground is known as a wind velocity profile. When this wind profile encounters a tall building, some of the fast moving wind at upper elevations is diverted down to ground level resulting in local adverse wind effects.

The terminology used to describe the wind flow patterns around the proposed Development is based on the aerodynamic mechanism, direction and nature of the wind flow.

**Downwash** – refers to a flow of air down the exposed face of a tower. A tall tower can deflect a fast moving wind at higher elevations downwards.

**Corner Accelerations** – when wind flows around the corner of a building it tends to accelerate in a similar manner to airflow over the top of an aeroplane wing.

**Flow separation** – when wind flowing along a surface suddenly detaches from that surface and the resultant energy dissipation produces increased turbulence in the flow. Flow separation at a building corner or at a solid screen can result in gusty conditions.

**Flow channelling** – the well-known “street canyon” effect occurs when a large volume of air is funnelled through a constricted pathway. To maintain flow continuity the wind must speed up as it passes through the constriction. Examples of this might occur between two towers, in a narrowing street or under a bridge.


**Direct Exposure** – a location with little upstream shielding for a wind direction of interest. The location will be exposed to the unabated mean wind and gust velocity. Piers and open water frontage may have such exposure.

## Appendix B: REFERENCES







- [1] *Structural Design Actions, Part 2: Wind Actions*, Australian/New Zealand Standard 1170.2:2011
- [2] *Wind Effects on Structures* E. Simiu, R Scanlan, Publisher: Wiley-Interscience
- [3] *Architectural Aerodynamics* R. Aynsley, W. Melbourne, B. Vickery, Publisher: Applied Science Publishers

## Appendix C: DRAWING LIST

Received in October 2020

Name	Date modified
 201016_2 Wilson Street_Planning proposal_DRAFT	18/10/2020 10:24 PM

Name	Date modified
 PP101 - BASEMENT PLAN-A	16/10/2020 8:16 AM
 PP102 - GROUND FLOOR-A	16/10/2020 8:16 AM
 PP103 - LEVEL 1-A	16/10/2020 8:16 AM
 PP104 - LEVEL 2 - 21-A	16/10/2020 8:16 AM
 PP105 - LEVEL 22 - 26-A	16/10/2020 8:16 AM
 Wilson St_Yield Table	16/10/2020 8:16 AM